

# Office of State Public Defender

2019 and 2020  
Fiscal Year Report

*Submitted to the State of  
Montana Governor,  
Supreme Court, and  
Legislature*

*September 2020*



# OPD Report Contents



## Mission

We provide effective professional legal services with equal access to quality client-centered representation.

## Vision

As trained legal professionals, we strive to represent our clients in an effective and efficient manner to ensure a more fair and balanced justice system. We are dedicated and committed to improving overall outcomes for our clients and addressing the conditions that led to their involvement with the justice system.

### Introduction

Agency Organizational Chart. . . . . 4

Director’s Note. . . . . 6

Agency Case and Assignment Types. . . . . 7

### Agency Wide Reports

Agency Overview by Fiscal Year. . . . . 8

Agency Expenditure Data\*

Caseload and Workload by Attorney\*

Policies and Practice Standards

    FY 2019 Policies\*

    FY 2019 Practice Standards\*

    FY 2020 Policies\*

    FY 2020 Practice Standards\*

Training Department Report. . . . . 10

    Full List of Courses Offered at Statewide Training Conference. . . . . 14

Capital Case Data for FY 19-20. . . . . 15

### Division and Regional Reports

Division 1, Public Defender Division. . . . . 16

    Data and Staffing by Public Defender Region and Fiscal Year. . . . . 20

Division 2, Appellate Defender Division. . . . . 64

Division 3, Conflict Defender Division. . . . . 68


    Data and Staffing by Conflict Region and Fiscal Year. . . . . 72

**\*Please click to open. Due to size of documents, OPD has published these sections separately.**



# MONTANA STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

For more information, contact Rhonda Lindquist, Director, at RLindquist@mt.gov, or 444-9049.



# Director's Note

Montana's Office of State Public Defender (OPD) employees work every day to ensure justice prevails. In the last two years, our attorneys have represented adults and children in more than 67,000 new cases in 179 courts. That number is significant, but it doesn't tell the entire story.

Each region of Montana has social and economic differences that can make case assignments and representation a challenge. Collaboration with justice stakeholders to divert clients into treatment programs for substance abuse or mental health services became even more difficult when COVID-19 entered our world. Violent crime is increasing in some areas, and OPD is responsible for more capital cases than ever before. These are just some of the challenges over the past two years.

As you review the information contained in this statutorily required report, remember our work has a different look every day. One day it might be the removal of four children in a dependent neglect case, where OPD is responsible for representing both the children and the parent(s). The next it could be a homicide of a family member or an involuntary mental health commitment. Each new case represents a client, young and old, all entitled to effective counsel. Over the past 14 years, case counts have grown but our obligation to improving services for clients has not changed. We will continue to balance our services with fiscal responsibility to produce better client outcomes.

- Rhonda Lindquist, Director


*"The ultimate measure of a man is not where he stands in moments of convenience, but where he stands at times of challenge and controversy."*

- Martin Luther King



## Agency Case and Assignment Types

### OPD Represents Clients in the Following Types of Cases in All Courts Across the State

Case Type Code	Case Type Name
DA	Appeal
DC	District Court Criminal
DD	Developmental Disability
DG	Guardianship
DI	Involuntary Commitment
DJ	Juvenile
DN	Dependency & Neglect
DV	Post-Conviction
Low. Ct.	Misdemeanor
SR	Sentence Review
TE	Treatment Court



### OPD Assigns both Internal and External Attorneys





# All Agency Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
34,523

**Clients Represented**  
21,102

**Number of Courts**  
178

### FTE Employees

293.19 Total FTEs

161.00 Attorneys

118.19 Non-Attorney Staff

14.00 Regional Managers

### Contractors

195 Available Contract Attorneys


163 Contractors Received Cases

101 Non-Attorney Contractors

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### All Agency Regions Map

Each Court in All Agency is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court.



### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
8,882 cases


DI  
1,025 cases

DJ  
709 cases

DN  
4,804 cases


Low. Ct.  
18,650 cases

SR  
192 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week /w 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
6,636 cases

FTE  
27,887 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# All Agency Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
33,197

**Clients Represented**  
20,200

**Number of Courts**  
179

### FTE Employees

304.28 Total FTEs

169.00 Attorneys

121.28 Non-Attorney Staff

14.00 Regional Managers

### Contractors

199 Available Contract Attorneys


151 Contractors Received Cases

100 Non-Attorney Contractors

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### All Agency Regions Map

Each Court in All Agency is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court.



### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
8,957 cases


DG  
209 cases

DI  
976 cases

DJ  
659 cases


DN  
4,222 cases

Low. Ct.  
17,890 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week /w 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
7,097 cases

FTE  
26,100 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## OPD Training Department FY 2019 Trainings

The Training Department's focus for FY 19 was to provide professional training to the entire agency. This holistic approach began with training events on a number of topics relevant to social workers and investigators. In August and September, we sent investigators and social workers to out-of-state conferences, then reaped the benefit of the knowledge they gained at the annual conference in October. The depth and breadth of the integrated training events was a source of knowledge our employees were able to use in their daily jobs.

The Annual Conference, held in October 2018, brought experts from across the country presenting on a number of topics, including handling very difficult felony cases. We were also proud to have our mitigation team, comprised of an attorney and a social worker, present on what they learned at an out-of-state conference focused on team mitigation practice. The OPD Management Summit occurred in June, which set the table for a very successful training year in FY 20.

OPD hosted or took part in over 20 training events in FY 2019 and trained over 500 individuals. Most of the trainings were in conference form, with supplemental webinars for staff and attorneys. We were proud to participate in the continued growth and development of an amazing group of professionals dedicated to public defense.

- Nate McConnell, Training Coordinator

Date of Training	Description of Training	Internal Personnel		External Personnel		Totals
		Attorney	Non-Attorney	Attorney	Non-Attorney	
2018						
August 9-11	NASW MT Clinical Social Worker Course		1			1
September 4-6	NDIA: Obstacles that Impede Criminal Defense Investigations	1				1
September 8	Foundations, Objections and Winning at Trial †	16				16
September 9	MTACDL/OPD Forensics CLE †	21	11	18		50
September 10-14	Wisconsin PD: Mitigation Attorneys & Investigators †	2				2
September 12-13	Fall CSKT-ICWA Legal Summit †	9				9
September 14	MTACDL/OPD Criminal Defense CLE †	44				44
September 19-21	New Lawyer Trial Skills †	21		1		22
October 5	MSOTA Training †	1				1
October 10-13	NACDL Defend the Modern Drug Case †	1				1
October 16-17	OPD Statewide Training Conference	136	20	77	3	236
	Please see separate list of all courses offered at OPD's Statewide Training Conference					
October 22-24	Drug Court Conference †	1				1
December 21	Manager Training	12				12
2019						
January 16-20	Gideon's Promise	1				1
January 16-19	Appellate Defender Training †	4				4
January 18-19	Defending Drug DUI †	11				11
May 8-10	New Lawyer Trial Skills †	22				22
May 8-9	Support Staff Conference		77			77
May 30-31	MSOTA Training Conference †	1				1
June 12	Legislative Webinar †	42				42
June 14	Western Juvenile Defense Conference †	16				16
June 18-20	Management Leadership Summit	24				24
June 26-27	Bail Advocacy †	36				36
Totals		422	109	96	3	630

† Training topics presented to train attorneys for criminal defense or procedure



# OPD Training Department FY 2020 Trainings

<u>Date of Training</u> <b>2019</b>	<u>Description of Training</u>	<u>Internal Personnel</u>		<u>External Personnel</u>		<u>Totals</u>
		<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Non-Attorney</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Non-Attorney</u>	
August 19-21	Team Mitigation Institute †	4	4			8
September 10-13	Sentencing Advocate Conference		4			4
September 11-12	Fall CSKT-ICWA Legal Summit †	12				12
September 19-20	MTACDL/OPD Gridiron CLE †	49		29		78
September 26	Legal Writing Legal Editing Webinar †	17				17
October 8-10	OPD Statewide Training Conference †	155	60	28	1	244
<i>Please see separate list of all courses offered at OPD's Statewide Training Conference</i>						
October 24-25	Appellate Conference †	17		1		18
November 8	JustWare Brief Bank Training - Great Falls	7				7
November 14	An Era of Opportunity - DN Webinar †	38				38
November 22	Management Leadership Summit	28				28
December 2-4	MLEA Crossing the Cop	16				16
December 19	Blood Tube Webinar †	42				42
<b>2020</b>						
January 14	NACC Webinar †	17				17
January 18-19	ARIDE in the Desert - NCDD †	11				11
January 20-Feb 6	JustWare Brief Bank - In-Office Trainings	55				55
January 30	Practical Tips for Preserving Appeal Webinar †	53				53
February 13	Communications †	41				41
March 3	Demystifying the Guardianship and Conservatorship Process †	17				17
March 4	DUI Webinar - New Lawyers	12				12
March 11	Stacking Offenses Webinar - New Lawyers	14				14
March 17	Diminished Capacity Webinar †	17				17
March 18	Bail Webinar - New Lawyers	16				16
March 24	Adult Guardianship †	17				17
March 26	Evidence in Sex Cases Webinar †	42				42
March 31	Representation of Person under Guardianship †	17				17
April 2020	Train the Trainer Course	2				2
April 7	Least Restrictive Approaches to Guardianship †	17				17
April 16	Defending Against Involuntary Commitments Webinar †	63				63
April 17	Daily Resiliency Skills	9				9
April 24	Sentence Revocation Webinar †	63				63
May 13	COVID 19 - Stress and Trauma	11				11
June 5	Investigator Meetup - Weekly	1	5			6
June 5	Prosecutor and Sheriff Role in COVID †	5				5
June 23	Support Staff Series Pt 1 - Emotional First Aid		42			42
June 26	Trial in the COVID Era - Weekly	46				46
<b>Totals</b>		<b>931</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1105</b>

† Training topics presented to train attorneys for criminal defense or procedure.

The Training Department made significant gains in FY 20, offering perhaps the most training opportunities in the agency's history. We offered dozens of training opportunities and had over 1,000 total attendees. Thanks to OPD leadership, we have taken great strides in our ability to offer training opportunities in a variety of ways, including utilizing technology to train our staff.

The centerpiece of our training year was the Annual Conference in Butte. The conference offered 39 unique training sessions (up from 19 the year before), had an investigator-specific training track, a dependent neglect focus, and sessions on wellness. Attendance at the annual conference was up, as were the total number of CLEs.

The Training Department also increased the number of webinars offered to its staff to over two dozen from experts both inside and outside the agency. The topics ranged from dependent neglect issues to ethics with a total of over 300 attendees. The Training Department also used its newfound technological expertise to offer weekly meetups, including how attorneys are handling trials in the COVID era. Pre-pandemic, we held live conferences, and we joined with partners to support their events.

The Agency approved a Learning Management System (LMS) for the Training Department in the Spring, which is an exciting development. This tool will be vital for providing in-depth course work throughout the year, and it can be done virtually. While live trainings will not go away, we anticipate that the LMS will allow us to train our employees efficiently on an ongoing basis.

At the end of FY 20, the Training Department deployed the virtual conference concept with the Support Staff Summer Series. This virtual training was a weekly series focused on a variety of topics important to our stellar administrative support team. We anticipate using this as a model going forward. In sum, FY 20 was a successful year for the Training Department, and we anticipate an even stronger FY 21.

- Nate McConnell, Training Coordinator

## Full List of Courses Offered at Statewide Training Conferences


Trainings Offered at FY 2019 OPD Statewide Conference
- The Importance of Family Defense
- How Law Enforcement Creates Crimes 50:50
- Simplify and Improve Your Practice: JustWare, Office 365 & More
- Potential Impacts of Marsy's Law on Investigations †
- What Are You Getting At - Ideas for Effective Voir Dire †
- Suspended License? The Ins and Outs of Suspensions, Revocations and Reinstatement †
- Strategies for Achieving Success: Overcoming Challenges in Our Cases †
- Addressing 404b †
- Just Science or Just Junk †
- The Ethics of Social Media Investigations of Your Client and Defense Witnesses †
- Implicit Racial Bias and the Myth of Colorblind Justice
- Development from Adolescence : Effects of Characteristics, Context, & Traumatic Experience
- Representing Parents with Disabilities in Dependency and Neglect Cases
- Experts, Attacking Theirs and Bullet-Proofing Yours †
- Cell Phone and Cellebrite Forensics †
- Forensic-based Defense of Child Pornography Cases †
- When You Don't Want to Make the News †
- Policy Roundtable – What's Trending in the World of Public Defense †
- JustWare: Tools to Make Your Practice More Efficient
- Questioning the Child Witness †
- Case Update †
- File Management & Online Resources for Public Defenders

Trainings Offered at FY 2020 OPD Statewide Conference
<i>General</i>
- Questioning Complaints in the #MeToo Era
- Zen and the Art of Public Defender Maintenance
- Crash Course in Mental Disease and Defect
- Veteran Issues
- Everyday Ethics
- Annual Case Update
<i>Fundamentals</i>
- Introduction to Client-Centered Representation
- The Defense Investigation
- JustWare Forms 1
- JustWare Forms 2
<i>Criminal</i>
- #MeToo Trial Strategies
- Litigating Discovery
- Combating the "Blind" Expert
- Advanced Discovery Issues
- Immigration Issues Beyond Padilla
- The Spy in your Pocket
- Veterans' BYOC
- Litigating Mental Health Issues in Criminal Cases
<i>Dependent Neglect</i>
- DN Foundations of Representation - Parent
- The Child's Interests - State v Best
- Understanding and Using Psych Evals in DN Cases
- Addressing Trauma in Your Client's DN Case
<i>Investigator</i>
- Developing a Theory of the Case
- Advanced Witness Interviewing Techniques
- Interactive Witness Interview Breakouts
- Case Scenario Brainstorming
- Crime Scene Investigations
- Interviewing Medical Examiners and Physicians
- Online and Electronic Investigations
- Interviewing Child and Teen Witnesses
- Victimology
- Discovery and Reciprocal Discovery
- Cell Towers and Cell Phone Forensics
- Ethics - Interactive Discussion
- Preparing to Testify in Court
<i>Sentencing</i>
- DOC Supervision - Probation and the Grid
- DOC - The Process of Programs
- Restitution - Retribution and Restoration
<i>Wellness</i>
- Lawyer's Assistance Program
- Dynamic Mindfulness for Reducing Stress
- Working Efficiently and Setting Time Boundaries
- Gratitude Practices

## Capital Cases

## Fiscal Years 2019-2020


Capital Case Location Map




Capital Case Expenditures

	2019	2020
State v. Clovis Christopher Geno		\$45,057
State v. Lloyd Montier Barrus	\$437,571	\$88,912
State v. Patricia Batts		\$82,065
State v. Ronald Allen Smith	\$4,565	\$924
<b>Fiscal Year Totals</b>	<b>\$442,136</b>	<b>\$216,959</b>

Contract Attorney Capital Case Costs



Capital Cases Fiscal Year Received





## Division 1, Public Defender Division


The attorneys, support staff, investigators and social workers who make up the Public Defender Division strive every day to provide positive outcomes for their clients, who are often the poorest and most disadvantaged members of Montana's society. They suffer from severe mental illness, have grown up in broken homes, and their experience in society more often manifests in barriers not opportunities.

Despite the challenges, the Public Defender Division has achieved many fine results in 2020. One region has obtained not guilty verdicts in six out of seven jury trials. Other trials have resulted in acquittals on charges that carried hundreds of years in prison, thereby saving taxpayers millions of dollars in incarceration costs, not to mention ensuring an innocent person remains free.

Child welfare cases also demand attention from the Public Defender Division. Working with case managers, social workers, peer behavioral support specialists, and other members of the community, public defenders bring a holistic approach, giving parents the tools and support they need to be successful caregivers for their children. Maintaining Montana's families is a task we take seriously.

Whether it be in courts, offices, jails, treatment facilities, living rooms, or the local McDonalds, public defenders are out in Montana communities meeting with clients and their families, trying to achieve the best results possible. The Public Defender Office isn't just a law firm, it's a client-centered agency dedicated to addressing the issues that led our clients to involvement with the criminal justice system by connecting clients with community services. One can live in Montana and not truly see the level of poverty and related challenges that exist in our State. Those in the Public Defender system see it every day, and every day we are working to make a change for the good – for our clients, for Montana.

- Peter Ohman, Division Administrator



### Region 1 - Kalispell

Nicholas Aemisegger, Regional Deputy  
20 Public Defenders | 12 Legal Support Staff

### Region 2 - Missoula

Jennifer Streano, Regional Deputy  
25.5 Public Defenders | 15 Legal Support Staff

### Region 3 - Great Falls

Matthew McKittrick, Regional Deputy  
13 Public Defenders | 9 Legal Support Staff

### Region 4 - Helena

Suzanne Seburn, Regional Deputy  
14 Public Defenders | 8 Legal Support Staff

### Region 5 - Butte

Sherry Petrovich-Staedler, Regional Deputy  
10 Public Defenders | 5 Legal Support Staff

### Region 6 - Havre

Theresa Diekhans, Regional Deputy  
4 Public Defenders | 4 Legal Support Staff

### Region 7 - Lewistown

Adam Larsen, Regional Deputy  
2 Public Defenders | 2 Legal Support Staff

### Region 8 - Bozeman

Annie DeWolf, Regional Deputy  
12 Public Defenders | 9 Legal Support Staff

### Region 9 - Billings

Kris Copenhaver, Regional Deputy  
26.5 Public Defenders | 17.5 Legal Support Staff

### Region 10 - Glendive

Cynthia Thornton, Regional Deputy  
2 Public Defenders | 2.5 Legal Support Staff

### Region 11 - Miles City

Joseph Zavatsky, Regional Deputy  
2 Public Defenders | 2 Legal Support Staff

# Division 1 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
28,051

**Clients Represented**  
17,657

**Number of Courts**  
176

### FTE Employees

225.94 Total FTEs

128.00 Attorneys

86.94 Non-Attorney Staff

11.00 Regional Manager


### Contract Attorneys

195 Available Contractors\*

113 Contractors Received Cases

### Division 1 Regions Map

Each Court in Division 1 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court.



\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
7,432 cases


DG  
172 cases

DI  
996 cases

DJ  
562 cases


DN  
1,751 cases

Low. Ct.  
17,062 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week / 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
2,005 cases

FTE  
26,046 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Division 1 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
27,039

**Clients Represented**  
16,998

**Number of Courts**  
177

### FTE Employees

230.94 Total FTEs

133.00 Attorneys

86.94 Non-Attorney Staff

11.00 Regional Manager


### Contract Attorneys

199 Available Contractors\*

105 Contractors Received Cases

### Division 1 Regions Map

Each Court in Division 1 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court.



\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
7,309 cases


DG  
205 cases

DI  
954 cases

DJ  
509 cases


DN  
1,690 cases

Low. Ct.  
16,259 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week / 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
2,735 cases

FTE  
24,304 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year





# Region 1 Overview


## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
3,705

**Clients Represented**  
2,626

**Number of Courts**  
21

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


39 Available Contractors\*

5 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 1 Counties Map


Each court in Region 1 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown



### Case Assignment Breakdown



# Region 1 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients	
Flathead	Columbia Falls	City	Lower Court	77	66	
	Kalispell	City	Lower Court	408	305	
		District	DC	514	432	
			DG	28	28	
			DI	119	105	
			DJ	52	37	
			DN	109	67	
		Justice	Lower Court	816	677	
	Whitefish	City	Lower Court	72	61	
	Lake	Polson	City	Lower Court	101	77
District			DC	376	316	
			DG	2	2	
			DI	15	14	
			DJ	19	16	
			DN	21	14	
Justice			Lower Court	349	289	
TE			1	1		
Ronan		City	Lower Court	47	36	
Saint Ignatius		City	Lower Court	10	7	
Lincoln		Eureka	City	Lower Court	26	23
		Libby	City	Lower Court	74	65
	District		DC	120	105	
			DG	3	3	
			DI	8	7	
			DJ	7	6	
			DN	5	4	
	Justice		Lower Court	121	102	
	Troy	City	Lower Court	35	28	
	Missoula	Missoula	District	DC	1	1
Sanders	Hot Springs	City	Lower Court	5	4	
	Plains	City	Lower Court	17	15	
	Thompson Falls	City	Lower Court	45	36	
		District	DC	51	50	
			DG	1	1	
			DI	3	2	
			DJ	3	3	
			DN	8	4	
		Justice	Lower Court	36	35	
	Regional Totals				3,705	2,626

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region 1 Overview


## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
3,390

**Clients Represented**  
2,384

**Number of Courts**  
20

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


40 Available Contractors\*

1 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 1 Counties Map


Each court in Region 1 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown



### Case Assignment Breakdown



# Region 1 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Flathead	Columbia Falls	City	Lower Court	77	67
	Kalispell	City	Lower Court	399	290
		District	DC	433	352
			DG	22	22
			DI	90	80
			DJ	52	28
			DN	113	69
		Justice	Lower Court	706	574
	Whitefish	City	Lower Court	85	78
	Lake	Polson	City	Lower Court	87
District			DC	300	249
			DD	1	1
			DG	1	1
			DI	12	12
			DJ	12	11
DN			12	10	
Justice		Lower Court	465	377	
Ronan		City	Lower Court	33	30
Saint Ignatius		City	Lower Court	6	5
Lincoln	Eureka	City	Lower Court	10	9
	Libby	City	Lower Court	43	36
		District	DC	94	84
			DG	5	5
			DI	5	5
			DJ	5	4
			DN	25	18
		Justice	Lower Court	93	84
	Troy	City	Lower Court	21	19
	Sanders	Hot Springs	City	Lower Court	5
Plains		City	Lower Court	18	12
Thompson Falls		City	Lower Court	24	20
		District	DC	50	45
			DG	5	5
			DI	3	2
			DN	11	5
Justice		Lower Court	67	56	
Regional Totals				3,390	2,384

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

## Region 2 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
4,889

**Clients Represented**  
3,150

**Number of Courts**  
12

### FTE Employees

42.00 Total FTEs

25.00 Attorneys

16.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


63 Available Contractors\*

5 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 2 Counties Map

Each court in Region 2 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
979 cases  
41 cases  
DI  
328 cases  
DJ  
103 cases  
DN  
132 cases  
Low. Ct.  
3,284 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year

New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
93 cases  
FTE  
4,796 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 2 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients		
Mineral	Alberton	City	Lower Court	4		4		
	Superior	City	Lower Court	8		8		
		District	DC	62		59		
			DG	1		1		
			DI	2		2		
			DJ	2		2		
			DN	8		6		
			Justice	Lower Court	96		81	
		Missoula	Missoula	City	Lower Court	1,779		1,174
	Missoula	District	DC	714		571		
DG			34		34			
DI			275		229			
DJ			84		72			
DN			101		65			
DV			2		2			
TE			20		19			
Justice			Lower Court	829		694		
Ravalli		Darby	City	Lower Court	11		10	
		Hamilton	City	Lower Court	118		98	
	District		DC	203		177		
			DG	6		6		
			DI	51		39		
			DJ	17		12		
			DN	23		18		
	Justice		Lower Court	429		316		
	Stevensville	City	Lower Court	10		10		
	Regional Totals				4,889		3,150	

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



## Region 2 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
4,742

**Clients Represented**  
2,989

**Number of Courts**  
12

### FTE Employees

41.50 Total FTEs

25.50 Attorneys

15.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


64 Available Contractors\*

7 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 2 Counties Map

Each court in Region 2 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
894 cases  
DI  
310 cases  
DJ  
105 cases  
DN  
126 cases  
Low. Ct.  
3,185 cases  
TE  
65 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year

New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average



### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
73 cases  
FTE  
4,669 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 2 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients			
Mineral	Alberton	City	Lower Court	3		3			
	Superior	City	Lower Court	9		8			
		District	DC	65		61			
			DG	3		3			
			DI	6		6			
			DN	17		7			
		Justice	Lower Court	77		69			
	Missoula	Missoula	City	Lower Court	1,955		1,150		
			TE	3		1			
District			DC	659	578				
			DD	2		2			
			DG	42		42			
			DI	274		225			
			DJ	92		82			
			DN	88		53			
			DV	5		3			
			TE	62		61			
Justice			Lower Court	673	566				
Ravalli			Darby	City	Lower Court	9		8	
			Hamilton	City	Lower Court	90		77	
				District	DC	170		150	
	DD	1			1				
	DG	4			4				
	DI	30			25				
	DJ	13			10				
	DN	21			14				
	Justice	Lower Court		351	279				
	Stevensville	City	Lower Court	18		15			
	Regional Totals				4,742		2,989		

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

## Region 3 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
3,272

**Clients Represented**  
1,938

**Number of Courts**  
15

### FTE Employees

23.00 Total FTEs

13.00 Attorneys

9.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


60 Available Contractors\*

13 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 3 Counties Map


Each court in Region 3 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year




### Case Assignment Breakdown



Contractor  
211 cases

FTE  
3,061 cases

### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 3 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Cascade	Great Falls	City	Lower Court	1,071	576
		District	DC	813	639
			DD	2	1
			DG	3	3
			DI	33	29
			DJ	91	69
			DN	348	188
			DV	1	1
			Justice	Lower Court	380
		Glacier	Cut Bank	City	Lower Court
District	DC			67	62
	DG			1	1
	DJ			3	3
	DN			10	7
	Justice			Lower Court	44
Pondera	Conrad			City	Lower Court
		District	DC	18	17
			DJ	1	1
			DN	11	4
			Justice	Lower Court	26
		Teton	Choteau	City	Lower Court
District	DC			28	21
	DI			1	1
	DJ			1	1
	DN			11	5
	Justice			Lower Court	20
Toole	Shelby			City	Lower Court
		District	DC	27	27
			DI	4	4
			DN	5	4
			Justice	Lower Court	37
		Regional Totals			

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

## Region 3 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
3,146

**Clients Represented**  
1,889

**Number of Courts**  
15

### FTE Employees

23.00 Total FTEs

13.00 Attorneys

9.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


61 Available Contractors\*

16 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 3 Counties Map

Each court in Region 3 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
973 cases  
6 cases  
DI  
18 cases  
DJ  
81 cases  
DN  
383 cases  
Low. Ct.  
1,682 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year

New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
314 cases  
FTE  
2,832 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 3 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Cascade	Great Falls	City	Lower Court	991	544
		District	DC	838	646
			DD	2	2
			DG	4	4
			DI	15	15
			DJ	77	55
			DN	353	184
			DV	1	1
		Justice	Lower Court	357	313
Glacier	Cut Bank	City	Lower Court	198	134
		District	DC	56	48
			DG	2	2
			DI	2	2
			DJ	2	2
			DN	18	10
		Justice	Lower Court	45	41
Pondera	Conrad	City	Lower Court	8	7
		District	DC	19	19
			DN	8	7
		Justice	Lower Court	21	21
Teton	Choteau	City	Lower Court	2	2
		District	DC	25	19
			DJ	1	1
		Justice	Lower Court	11	10
Toole	Shelby	City	Lower Court	23	23
		District	DC	35	34
			DI	1	1
			DJ	1	1
			DN	4	3
		Justice	Lower Court	26	22
Regional Totals				3,146	1,889

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



## Region 4 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
2,587

**Clients Represented**  
1,681

**Number of Courts**  
12

### FTE Employees

21.50 Total FTEs

12.50 Attorneys

8.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


58 Available Contractors\*

12 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 4 Counties Map

Each court in Region 4 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 797 cases  
DG 8 cases  
DI 65 cases  
DJ 35 cases  
DN 127 cases  
Low. Ct. 1,553 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 100 cases  
FTE 2,487 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 4 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients	
Broadwater	Townsend	City	Lower Court	39	33	
		District	DC	38	34	
			DJ	3	2	
			DN	5	2	
		Justice	Lower Court	45	42	
		Jefferson	Boulder	City	Lower Court	8
District	DC	54		51		
	DD	1		1		
	DI	3		2		
	DN	20		7		
	Justice	Lower Court		50	49	
	Whitehall	City		Lower Court	21	19
Lewis And Clark	East Helena	City		Lower Court	26	24
	Helena	City	Lower Court	875	654	
		District	DC	705	555	
			DG	8	8	
			DI	62	55	
			DJ	32	28	
			DN	102	62	
			TE	1	1	
			Justice	Lower Court	489	392
	Regional Totals				2,587	1,681

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

## Region 4 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
2,427

**Clients Represented**  
1,614

**Number of Courts**  
11

### FTE Employees

23.00 Total FTEs

14.00 Attorneys

8.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys

59 Available Contractors\*

4 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 4 Counties Map

Each court in Region 4 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 810 cases  
DG 13 cases  
DI 64 cases  
DJ 42 cases  
DN 114 cases  
Low. Ct. 1,382 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average



### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 5 cases

FTE 2,422 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 4 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients	
Broadwater	Townsend	City	Lower Court	18	17	
		District	DC	51	48	
			DI	2	2	
			DJ	2	2	
			DN	1	1	
			Justice	Lower Court	50	48
		Jefferson	Boulder	City	Lower Court	13
District	DC	59		56		
	DI	2		2		
	DJ	2		2		
	DN	6		4		
	Justice	DC		3	3	
Lower Court		67		63		
TE		1		1		
	Whitehall	City		Lower Court	14	14
Lewis And Clark	East Helena	City		Lower Court	25	23
	Helena	City		Lower Court	759	517
		District		DC	697	541
			DG	13	13	
			DI	60	54	
			DJ	38	34	
			DN	107	70	
			DV	1	1	
			Justice	Lower Court	436	359
	Regional Totals				2,427	1,614

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region 5 Overview


## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
1,876

**Clients Represented**  
1,367

**Number of Courts**  
16

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


68 Available Contractors\*

16 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 5 Counties Map


Each court in Region 5 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown



### Case Assignment Breakdown



# Region 5 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients		
Beaverhead	Dillon	City	Lower Court	68	58		
		District	DC	31	26		
			DG	3	3		
			DI	14	12		
			DJ	4	4		
			DN	10	6		
			Justice	Lower Court	49	46	
		Deer Lodge	Anaconda	District	DC	104	90
DD	2				1		
DG	1				1		
DI	20				16		
DJ	2				1		
DN	5				4		
Justice	Lower Court			189	150		
Granite	Philipsburg			District	DC	11	11
		DD	2		1		
		DG	1		1		
		DJ	2		2		
		DN	2		1		
		Justice	Lower Court	31	30		
		Madison	Ennis	City	Lower Court	2	1
			Virginia City	District	DC	8	8
DI	1				1		
DN	9				6		
DV	1				1		
Justice	Lower Court			83	71		
Powell	Deer Lodge			City	Lower Court	41	39
		District	DC	105	103		
			DG	2	2		
			DI	7	7		
			DJ	2	2		
			DN	14	7		
			DV	1	1		
			Justice	Lower Court	57	53	
		Silver Bow	Butte	City	DV	1	1
Lower Court	394				301		
District	DC			285	251		
	DG			1	1		
	DI			84	62		
	DJ			14	14		
	DN			88	48		
	DV			1	1		
	Justice			Lower Court	124	122	
Regional Totals				1,876	1,367		

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region 5 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
1,536

**Clients Represented**  
1,166

**Number of Courts**  
17

### FTE Employees

16.00 Total FTEs

10.00 Attorneys

5.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


69 Available Contractors\*

18 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 5 Counties Map

Each court in Region 5 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 481 cases  
DD 5 cases  
DI 93 cases  
DJ 22 cases  
DN 109 cases  
Low. Ct. 823 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year

New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 141 cases  
FTE 1,395 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region 5 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients			
Beaverhead	Dillon	City	Lower Court	83		69			
		District	DC	47		44			
			DG	1		1			
			DI	7		7			
			DJ	1		1			
			DN	9		5			
			Justice	Lower Court	39		36		
		Deer Lodge	Anaconda	District	DC	66		60	
DD	3				1				
DG	1				1				
DI	13				11				
DJ	2				2				
DN	9				6				
Justice	Lower Court			129		117			
Granite	Philipsburg			City	Lower Court	1		1	
		District	DC	15		14			
			DD	2		1			
			DG	1		1			
		Justice	Lower Court	43		36			
		Madison	Ennis	City	Lower Court	3		3	
Virginia City	District		DC	25		22			
			DJ	2		2			
			DN	6		3			
	Justice		Lower Court	50		42			
	Powell		Deer Lodge	City	Lower Court	57		44	
				District	DC	129		123	
DI					5		5		
DJ		1			1				
DN		5			5				
Justice		Lower Court			64		61		
Silver Bow		Butte		City	Lower Court	268		218	
	District		DC	199		176			
			DI	68		56			
			DJ	16		15			
			DN	80		41			
			Justice	Lower Court	86		84		
	Regional Totals				1,536		1,166		

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region 6 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
993

**Clients Represented**  
740

**Number of Courts**  
18

### FTE Employees

9.00 Total FTEs

4.00 Attorneys

4.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


45 Available Contractors\*

1 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 6 Counties Map

Each court in Region 6 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 253 cases  
DI 15 cases  
DJ 16 cases  
DN 126 cases  
Low. Ct. 565 cases  
TE 10 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average



### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 1 cases

FTE 992 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region 6 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients		
Blaine	Chinook	City	Lower Court	10		10		
		District	DC	34		32		
			DJ	3		3		
			DN	6		3		
		Justice	Lower Court	27		27		
	Harlem	City	Lower Court	3		3		
Chouteau	Fort Benton	City	Lower Court	5		5		
		District	DC	18		17		
			DG	2		2		
			DI	1		1		
		Justice	Lower Court	8		8		
	Hill	Havre	City	Lower Court	241		172	
District			DC	159		141		
			DG	1		1		
			DI	2		2		
			DJ	11		7		
			DN	61		43		
Justice			Lower Court	173		154		
			TE	10		10		
Liberty			Chester	District	DC	1		1
		DN			3		3	
	Justice	Lower Court		2		2		
Phillips	Malta	City	Lower Court	17		13		
		District	DC	10		10		
			DG	2		2		
			DI	3		3		
			DN	20		19		
	Justice	Lower Court	14		13			
Valley	Glasgow	City	Lower Court	31		27		
		District	DC	31		28		
			DG	2		2		
			DI	9		8		
			DJ	2		2		
			DN	36		31		
			DV	1		1		
		Justice	Lower Court	34		33		
	Regional Totals				993		740	

# Region 6 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
1,019

**Clients Represented**  
683

**Number of Courts**  
20

### FTE Employees

9.00 Total FTEs

4.00 Attorneys

4.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


45 Available Contractors\*

1 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 6 Counties Map

Each court in Region 6 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 285 cases  
DG 12 cases  
DI 17 cases  
DN 66 cases  
Low. Ct. 618 cases  
TE 16 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 1 cases

FTE 1,018 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region 6 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients	
Blaine	Chinook	City	Lower Court	17	15	
		District	DC	35	32	
			DG	1	1	
			DN	6	2	
		Justice	Lower Court	26	25	
	Harlem	City	Lower Court	13	9	
		Cascade	Great Falls	District	DC	10
DN	2	1				
Justice	Lower Court	1		1		
Chouteau	Fort Benton	City	Lower Court	8	6	
		District	DC	13	11	
			DG	4	4	
			DI	1	1	
			DJ	1	1	
			Justice	Lower Court	18	18
		Hill	Havre	City	Lower Court	262
District	DC	152		120		
	DG	3		3		
	DI	8		8		
	DJ	2		2		
	DN	28		21		
	Justice	Lower Court		175	151	
Liberty	Chester	District		DC	3	3
				DG	2	2
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2	
Phillips	Malta	City	Lower Court	9	8	
		District	DC	20	18	
			DI	2	2	
			DN	13	6	
		Justice	Lower Court	16	15	
Valley	Glasgow	City	Lower Court	35	33	
		District	DC	52	46	
			DG	2	2	
			DI	6	6	
			DJ	2	2	
			DN	17	11	
			Justice	Lower Court	36	35
		Regional Totals				1,019

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region 7 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
423

**Clients Represented**  
345

**Number of Courts**  
18

### FTE Employees

4.00 Total FTEs

1.00 Attorneys

2.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


58 Available Contractors\*

3 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 7 Counties Map


Each court in Region 7 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown



### Case Assignment Breakdown



# Region 7 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients	
Fergus	Lewistown	City	Lower Court	47		40	
		District	DC	42		39	
			DG	9		9	
			DI	80		76	
			DJ	11		10	
			DN	24		17	
		Justice	Lower Court	20		18	
Golden Valley	Ryegate	District	DC	2		2	
		Justice	Lower Court	10		5	
Judith Basin	Stanford	District	DC	7		7	
			DJ	2		1	
			DV	1		1	
		Justice	Lower Court	17		15	
Meagher	White Sulphur Springs	City	Lower Court	4		4	
		District	DC	8		6	
			DI	1		1	
			DJ	1		1	
		Justice	Lower Court	11		11	
Musselshell	Roundup	City	Lower Court	16		16	
		District	DC	30		25	
			DG	2		2	
			DJ	6		6	
			DN	18		8	
		Justice	Lower Court	26		25	
Petroleum	Winnett	District	DC	1		1	
		Justice	Lower Court	1		1	
Wheatland	Harlowton	City	Lower Court	2		2	
		District	DC	13		13	
			DJ	2		2	
			DN	5		3	
		Justice	Lower Court	4		4	
Regional Totals				423		345	

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region 7 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
398

**Clients Represented**  
320

**Number of Courts**  
17

### FTE Employees

5.00 Total FTEs

2.00 Attorneys

2.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


59 Available Contractors\*

1 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 7 Counties Map


Each court in Region 7 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown



### Case Assignment Breakdown



# Region 7 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients	
Fergus	Lewistown	City	Lower Court	57		43	
		District	DC	50		44	
			DG	8		7	
			DI	67		59	
			DJ	10		9	
			DN	24		15	
			DV	1		1	
		Justice	Lower Court	28		28	
Golden Valley	Ryegate	District	DC	5		4	
		Justice	Lower Court	5		4	
Judith Basin	Stanford	District	DC	13		12	
			DJ	2		1	
			DN	1		1	
		Justice	Lower Court	19		17	
Meagher	White Sulphur Springs	City	Lower Court	8		6	
		District	DC	4		4	
			DG	1		1	
			DJ	1		1	
		Justice	Lower Court	6		6	
Musselshell	Roundup	City	Lower Court	11		10	
		District	DC	32		27	
			DJ	1		1	
			DN	5		2	
		Justice	Lower Court	9		9	
Petroleum	Winnett	Justice	Lower Court	3		3	
Wheatland	Harlowton	City	Lower Court	4		4	
		District	DC	18		16	
			DI	1		1	
			DN	1		1	
		Justice	Lower Court	3		3	
Regional Totals				398		320	

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region 8 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
2,645

**Clients Represented**  
1,983

**Number of Courts**  
13

### FTE Employees

21.00 Total FTEs

11.00 Attorneys

9.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


38 Available Contractors\*

15 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 8 Counties Map

Each court in Region 8 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 637 cases  
DG 15 cases  
DI 53 cases  
DJ 33 cases  
DN 76 cases  
Low. Ct. 1,831 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year

New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average



### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 92 cases  
FTE 2,553 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region 8 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Gallatin	Belgrade	City	Lower Court	156	142
	Bozeman	City	Lower Court	792	628
		District	DC	486	406
			DG	13	13
			DI	41	35
			DJ	29	26
			DN	58	39
		Justice	DC	1	1
		Lower Court	627	561	
	Manhattan	City	Lower Court	28	22
	Three Forks	City	Lower Court	1	1
	West Yellowstone	City	Lower Court	14	14
Park	Livingston	City	Lower Court	90	71
		District	DC	138	124
			DG	1	1
			DI	12	9
			DJ	4	4
			DN	14	11
		Justice	Lower Court	78	69
Sweet Grass	Big Timber	City	Lower Court	6	5
		District	DC	12	12
			DG	1	1
			DN	4	2
		Justice	Lower Court	39	39
Regional Totals				2,645	1,983

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region 8 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
2,496

**Clients Represented**  
1,885

**Number of Courts**  
12

### FTE Employees

22.00 Total FTEs

12.00 Attorneys

9.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


39 Available Contractors\*

16 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 8 Counties Map

Each court in Region 8 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
579 cases  
DG  
12 cases  
DI  
72 cases  
DJ  
56 cases  
DN  
107 cases  
Low. Ct.  
1,670 cases



### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
165 cases  
FTE  
2,331 cases



# Region 8 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients		
Gallatin	Belgrade	City	Lower Court	136	123		
	Bozeman	City	Lower Court	741	594		
		District	DC	456	383		
			DG	12	12		
			DI	62	55		
			DJ	46	35		
			DN	81	48		
		Justice	Lower Court	526	472		
	Manhattan	City	Lower Court	22	22		
	West Yellowstone	City	Lower Court	24	20		
Park	Livingston	City	Lower Court	71	62		
		District	DC	106	97		
			DI	10	9		
			DJ	8	8		
			DN	23	14		
			Justice	DC	1	1	
		Lower Court	102	91			
		Sweet Grass	Big Timber	City	Lower Court	10	9
				District	DC	16	16
DJ	2				2		
DN	3				3		
Justice	Lower Court			38	36		
Regional Totals				2,496	1,885		

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

## Region 9 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
6,507

**Clients Represented**  
3,558

**Number of Courts**  
16

### FTE Employees

45.00 Total FTEs

27.50 Attorneys

16.50 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


61 Available Contractors\*

40 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 9 Counties Map

Each court in Region 9 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
1,666 cases


DG  
28 cases

DI  
133 cases


DJ  
125 cases

DN  
479 cases

Low. Ct.  
4,053 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
789 cases

FTE  
5,718 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 9 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients	
Big Horn	Hardin	City	Lower Court	134	108	
		District	DC	101	96	
			DI	1	1	
			DJ	8	8	
			DN	33	20	
		Justice	Lower Court	66	60	
Carbon	Bridger	City	Lower Court	18	16	
	Fromberg	City	Lower Court	3	2	
	Red Lodge	City	Lower Court	33	28	
		District	DC	29	27	
			DG	1	1	
			DI	2	2	
			DJ	2	2	
			DN	8	4	
		Justice	Lower Court	30	29	
	Fergus	Lewistown	District	DC	1	1
Stillwater	Columbus	City	Lower Court	25	20	
		District	DC	63	62	
			DI	1	1	
			DJ	5	4	
			DN	21	10	
		Justice	DC	1	1	
			Lower Court	65	53	
Yellowstone	Billings	City	Lower Court	2,846	1,356	
			TE	15	12	
		District	DC	1,471	1,180	
			DD	1	1	
			DG	27	27	
			DI	129	109	
			DJ	110	74	
			DN	417	261	
			DV	2	2	
			TE	5	4	
			Justice	Lower Court	763	641
		Laurel	City	Lower Court	70	59
		Regional Totals				6,507

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

## Region 9 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
6,817

**Clients Represented**  
3,842

**Number of Courts**  
17

### FTE Employees

45.00 Total FTEs

26.50 Attorneys

17.50 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


62 Available Contractors\*

44 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 9 Counties Map

Each court in Region 9 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown

DC  
1,822 cases


DG  
52 cases

DI  
178 cases

DJ  
96 cases

DN  
526 cases


Low. Ct.  
4,135 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year

New Cases Received Per Week


7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
1,622 cases

FTE  
5,195 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



## Region 9 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients			
Big Horn	Hardin	City	Lower Court	137		117			
		District	DC	103		94			
			DG	1		1			
			DI	4		3			
			DJ	8		8			
			DN	22		15			
			Justice	Lower Court	104		90		
		Carbon	Bridger	City	Lower Court	27		25	
Fromberg	City		Lower Court	1		1			
Joliet	City		Lower Court	1		1			
Red Lodge	City		Lower Court	36		29			
	District		DC	48		41			
			DG	1		1			
			DI	7		6			
			DJ	3		2			
			DN	9		6			
			Justice	Lower Court	37		36		
	Stillwater		Columbus	City	Lower Court	17		16	
District				DC	46		45		
		DI		6		5			
		DN		11		10			
		Justice		Lower Court	51		45		
Wheatland		Harlowton		District	DN	1		1	
				Yellowstone	Billings	City	DV	1	
Lower Court	2,735		1,398						
District	DC	1,624			1,291				
	DD	3			2				
	DG	50			50				
	DI	161			139				
	DJ	85			61				
	DN	483			294				
	TE	4			3				
	Justice	DC	1		1				
	Lower Court	879		713					
	Laurel	City	Lower Court	110		98			
Regional Totals				6,817		3,842			

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region 10 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
628

**Clients Represented**  
494

**Number of Courts**  
20

### FTE Employees

5.50 Total FTEs

2.00 Attorneys

2.50 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


44 Available Contractors\*

14 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 10 Counties Map

Each court in Region 10 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 285 cases  
DG 6 cases  
DI 9 cases  
DJ 12 cases  
DN 36 cases  
Low. Ct. 275 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year

New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 350 cases  
FTE 278 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region 10 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Daniels	Scobey	District	DC	4	4
Dawson	Glendive	City	Lower Court	32	31
		District	DC	111	88
			DG	3	3
			DI	3	3
			DJ	5	5
			DN	10	8
			TE	4	4
			Justice	Lower Court	46
		McCone	Circle	District	DC
Justice	Lower Court			10	10
Prairie	Terry	District	DC	11	10
		Justice	Lower Court	8	8
Richland	Fairview	City	Lower Court	7	6
	Sidney	City	Lower Court	92	77
		District	DC	109	95
			DG	3	3
			DI	2	2
			DJ	4	4
			DN	19	18
			DV	1	1
			Justice	Lower Court	39
	Roosevelt	Culbertson	City	Lower Court	7
Wolf Point		City	Lower Court	4	3
		District	DC	30	29
			DI	4	4
			DJ	2	1
			DN	2	1
			Justice	Lower Court	17
Sheridan		Plentywood	City	Lower Court	7
	District		DC	14	13
			DJ	1	1
			DN	5	3
			Justice	Lower Court	6
Wibaux	Wibaux	District	DC	1	1
Regional Totals				628	494

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region 10 Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
632

**Clients Represented**  
496

**Number of Courts**  
22

### FTE Employees

5.50 Total FTEs

2.00 Attorneys

2.50 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


44 Available Contractors\*

14 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region 10 Counties Map

Each court in Region 10 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 312 cases  
DG 8 cases  
DI 19 cases  
DJ 9 cases  
DN 33 cases  
Low. Ct. 247 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 347 cases  
FTE 285 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region 10 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Daniels	Scobey	City	Lower Court	1	1
		District	DC	2	1
			DN	3	3
		Justice	Lower Court	1	1
Dawson	Glendive	City	Lower Court	34	29
		District	DC	104	90
			DG	5	5
			DI	8	7
			DJ	3	3
			DN	10	10
			TE	2	2
			Justice	Lower Court	39
		McCone	Circle	District	DC
DI	1				1
Justice	Lower Court			3	3
Prairie	Terry	District	DC	5	5
			DN	5	5
		Justice	Lower Court	7	7
Richland	Fairview	City	Lower Court	9	9
	Sidney	City	Lower Court	92	76
		District	DC	136	116
			DG	1	1
			DI	7	5
			DJ	3	2
			DN	13	11
			TE	1	1
			Justice	Lower Court	27
	Roosevelt	Culbertson	City	Lower Court	5
Wolf Point		City	Lower Court	15	10
		District	DC	43	38
			DD	1	1
			DG	2	1
			DI	2	2
			DJ	3	3
			Justice	DC	1
		Lower Court	4	4	
Sheridan	Plentywood	City	Lower Court	7	6
		District	DC	18	15
			DI	1	1
		Justice	Lower Court	3	3
Wibaux	Wibaux	District	DC	1	1
			DN	2	2
Regional Totals				632	496

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region 11 Overview


## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
526

**Clients Represented**  
402

**Number of Courts**  
18

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


43 Available Contractors\*

5 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 11 Counties Map


Each court in Region 11 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown



### Case Assignment Breakdown



# Region 11 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Carter	Ekalaka	District	DC	1	1
		Justice	Lower Court	1	1
Custer	Miles City	City	Lower Court	126	99
		District	DC	93	79
			DG	4	3
			DI	3	3
			DJ	4	4
			DN	38	27
		Justice	Lower Court	32	29
Fallon	Baker	City	Lower Court	10	7
		District	DC	14	14
			DG	4	4
			DJ	2	2
			DN	10	10
			Justice	10	10
		Justice	Lower Court	10	10
Garfield	Jordan	District	DC	1	1
Powder River	Broadus	District	DC	4	4
			DG	1	1
			DJ	1	1
			DN	3	2
		Justice	Lower Court	4	4
Rosebud	Colstrip	City	Lower Court	20	19
		Forsyth	City	18	15
	Forsyth	District	DC	38	35
			DG	1	1
			DJ	8	7
			DN	21	12
		Justice	Lower Court	49	44
Treasure	Hysham	District	DC	1	1
		Justice	Lower Court	3	3
Valley	Glasgow	District	DC	1	1
Regional Totals				526	402

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region 11 Overview


## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
436

**Clients Represented**  
355

**Number of Courts**  
17

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


44 Available Contractors\*

9 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region 11 Counties Map


Each court in Region 11 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown



### Case Assignment Breakdown




# Region 11 Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases		Number of Clients	
Carter	Ekalaka	Justice	Lower Court	3		3	
Custer	Miles City	City	Lower Court	96		73	
		District	DC	87		73	
			DG	6		6	
			DI	4		3	
			DJ	2		2	
			DN	21		17	
		Justice	Lower Court	25		25	
		Fallon	Baker	City	Lower Court	5	
District	DC			10		10	
	DG			1		1	
	DI			1		1	
	DJ			2		2	
Justice	Lower Court			2		2	
Garfield	Jordan			District	DC	2	
		DG	1		1		
		Justice	Lower Court	2		2	
		Powder River	Broadus	District	DC	9	
Justice	Lower Court			4		4	
Rosebud	Colstrip	City	Lower Court	26		22	
	Forsyth	City	Lower Court	5		5	
		District	DC	44		41	
			DJ	6		6	
			DN	8		5	
		Justice	Lower Court	54		50	
	Treasure	Hysham	District	DC	2		2
DN				5		5	
Justice			Lower Court	3		3	
Regional Totals				436		355	

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



**Appellate Defender**  
*Chad Wright, Division Administrator*  
 13.5 Appellate Defenders | 4 Legal Support Staff

## Division 2, Appellate Defender Division


The Appellate Defender Division is a small group of specialized OPD attorneys, support staff and OPD contractors who practice almost exclusively in the Montana Supreme Court. Receiving cases from all over Montana, the Appellate Division serves as the last line of defense to ensure justice for Montanans convicted of misdemeanor and felony criminal offenses, juveniles adjudicated under the Youth Court Act, mothers and fathers whose parental rights have been terminated and those citizens who have been involuntarily committed to the Montana State Hospital. In conjunction with the Public Defender and Conflict Divisions, the Appellate Division strives to protect the fundamental constitutional rights of our clients, implement the legislative goals of criminal justice reform and answer the basic question about whether our indigent clients were treated fairly in the court system.

The Appellate Division has struggled for years with an ever-present backlog of appeals. In criminal cases, this backlog has led to unacceptable delays. Indigent clients wait over five months before an attorney is even assigned to represent them. The Montana Supreme Court reports the median criminal appeal takes around 500 days from the filing of the Notice of Appeal until the case is submitted to the Court for decision. In contrast, when the Appellate Division's full resources are employed in parental termination appeals, the median filing time from the Notice of Appeal to submission to the Court runs around 160 days. See, [Supreme Court Performance Measures/Statistics](#).

In FY 2020, OPD's new management team lifted previous restrictions placed on the Appellate Division to address the criminal appeal backlog crisis. A backlog reduction plan was developed, and three phases of the plan were implemented with great success. However, the onset of COVID-19 reduced inner office productivity as over half of the Appellate Division employees provided childcare. Using its extensive knowledge of electronic filing, remote conferencing and document sharing, the Appellate Division quickly adapted to telework and staggered schedules. The backlog reduction plan was then modified to maintain current productivity levels through the end of FY 2020. The Appellate Division looks to capitalize on its stability to tackle the unacceptable delays through continued work with its clients, the OPD trial divisions, the Montana Supreme Court, the Clerk of the Montana Supreme Court, the official court reporters and its counterparts in the Appellate Services Bureau of the Montana Attorney General's Office.


- Chad Wright, Division Administrator

A map of the United States with a pie chart overlay on the central region, indicating the distribution of the three types of land use. The pie chart is divided into three segments: a large light blue segment representing 'Other' (60%), a medium orange segment representing 'Forest' (30%), and a small yellow segment representing 'Agriculture' (10%).




A donut chart illustrating the distribution of roles. The chart is divided into two segments: a smaller, light-colored segment representing 'Contractor' at 27%, and a larger, dark-colored segment representing 'FTE' at 73%.

Role	Percentage
Contractor	27%
FTE	73%

**Fiscal Year 2020**

Category	Percentage	Cases
DC	62%	152 cases
DN	30%	74 cases
Low. Ct.	7%	18 cases
DI	1%	12 cases
DJ	1%	2 cases
DV	1%	2 cases

Contractor 32%  
FTE 68%






## Division 3, Conflict Defender Division

Over the past three years the Conflict Defender Division has grown into a vibrant, well-supervised division with a statewide presence. The Conflict Defender Division now has attorney-staffed offices in Billings, Bozeman, Great Falls, Helena, and Missoula. Contract costs have been lowered every year through strategic deployment of FTE and active management of contractor services. The Conflict Defender Division and its managers continue to look for new ways to provide top quality legal representation for our indigent clients while at the same time striving to operate in the most fiscally responsible way.

In FY 2020 the expenditures of the Conflict Defender Division were significantly lower than its appropriation. This division is now a stable part of OPD and it will continue to make improvements in every aspect of its operations.

- Dan Miller, Division Administrator



### Region A - Missoula

*Edmund Sheehy, Regional Deputy*  
9.5 Public Defenders | 2 Legal Support Staff

### Region B - Helena

*Melissa Edwards-Smith, Regional Deputy*  
5 Public Defenders | 2.5 Legal Support Staff

### Region C - Billings

*Roberta Drew, Regional Deputy*  
3.5 Public Defenders | 2 Legal Support Staff

# Division 3 Overview Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
6,038

**Clients Represented**  
3,933

**Number of Courts**  
130

**FTE Employees**

- 26.00 Total FTEs
- 17.00 Attorneys
- 6.00 Non-Attorney Staff
- 3.00 Regional Manager

**Contract Attorneys**


195 Available Contractors\*

163 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

## Division 3 Regions Map


Each Court in Division 3 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court.



## Case Type Breakdown



## Case Assignment Breakdown



# Division 3 Overview Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
5,729

**Clients Represented**  
3,642

**Number of Courts**  
133

**FTE Employees**

- 31.00 Total FTEs
- 19.50 Attorneys
- 8.50 Non-Attorney Staff
- 3.00 Regional Manager

**Contract Attorneys**


199 Available Contractors\*

151 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

## Division 3 Regions Map


Each Court in Division 3 is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court.



## Case Type Breakdown



## Case Assignment Breakdown



# Region A Overview


## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
1,664

**Clients Represented**  
1,239

**Number of Courts**  
37

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


68 Available Contractors\*

67 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region A Counties Map


Each court in Region A is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year



### Case Assignment Breakdown



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region A Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Beaverhead	Dillon	City	Lower Court	4	4
		District	DC	2	2
			DJ	2	2
			DN	32	28
	Justice	Lower Court	5	4	
Cascade	Great Falls	District	DN	2	1
Deer Lodge	Anaconda	District	DC	20	16
			DJ	2	1
			DN	15	13
	Justice	Lower Court	43	41	
Flathead	Kalispell	City	Lower Court	14	12
		District	DC	66	52
			DI	5	3
			DJ	11	7
			DN	261	215
	Justice	Lower Court	29	24	
Granite	Philipsburg	District	DC	1	1
			DN	2	2
			Justice	Lower Court	1
Lake	Polson	City	Lower Court	6	6
		District	DC	76	58
			DG	1	1
			DJ	2	2
			DN	41	37
		Justice	Lower Court	42	34
			City	Lower Court	1
Lincoln	Libby	City	Lower Court	3	3
		District	DC	14	13
			DI	1	1
			DJ	2	2
			DN	10	7
	Justice	Lower Court	26	22	
Madison	Virginia City	District	DC	2	2
			DN	18	17
			Justice	Lower Court	8
Mineral	Superior	District	DC	9	9
			DN	11	9
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2
Missoula	Missoula	City	Lower Court	139	87
		District	DC	64	53
			DJ	9	8
			DN	177	136
	Justice	Lower Court	44	38	
Powell	Deer Lodge	City	Lower Court	9	8
		District	DC	7	7
			DN	30	25
			Justice	Lower Court	11
Ravalli	Darby	City	Lower Court	4	2
	Hamilton	City	Lower Court	20	17
		District	DC	22	21
			DJ	5	5
			DN	51	47
			Justice	Lower Court	34
		City	Lower Court	2	2
Sanders	Thompson Falls	District	DC	4	4
			DJ	1	1
			DN	14	11
Silver Bow	Butte	City	Lower Court	20	14
		District	DC	31	27
			DJ	1	1
			DN	136	85
		Justice	Lower Court	37	35
Regional Totals				1,664	1,239

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region A Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
1,844

**Clients Represented**  
1,261

**Number of Courts**  
38

### FTE Employees

12.50 Total FTEs

9.50 Attorneys

2.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


69 Available Contractors\*

62 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region A Counties Map

Each court in Region A is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 394 cases  
DG 3 cases  
DI 8 cases  
DJ 50 cases  
DN 765 cases  
Low. Ct. 623 cases



### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
1,182 cases

FTE  
662 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region A Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients
Beaverhead	Dillon	City	Lower Court	8	7
			District	DC	5
			DJ	1	1
			DN	22	16
		Justice	Lower Court	4	4
			District	DC	12
			DI	1	1
			DJ	2	2
		Justice	Lower Court	17	15
			District	DC	41
			DI	1	1
			DJ	2	2
Deer Lodge	Anaconda	City	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	18
			DI	56	36
			DJ	1	1
		Justice	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	30
			DI	225	187
			DJ	21	18
Flathead	Columbia Falls	City	Lower Court	2	2
			District	DC	4
			DI	70	54
			DJ	1	1
		Justice	Lower Court	3	3
			District	DC	38
			DI	61	42
			DJ	3	1
Granite	Philipsburg	City	Lower Court	3	1
			District	DC	3
			DI	19	15
			DJ	1	1
Lake	Polson	City	Lower Court	32	23
			District	DC	11
			DI	1	1
			DJ	10	7
		Justice	Lower Court	5	5
			District	DC	1
			DI	28	18
			DJ	3	3
Lincoln	Ronan	City	Lower Court	180	99
			District	DC	108
			DI	1	1
			DJ	2	2
		Justice	Lower Court	4	4
			District	DC	141
			DI	1	1
			DJ	27	22
Madison	Virginia City	City	Lower Court	8	8
			District	DC	25
			DI	21	16
			DJ	5	5
Mineral	Superior	City	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	15
			DI	29	22
			DJ	2	1
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2
			District	DC	51
			DI	55	40
			DJ	8	5
Missoula	Missoula	City	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	1
			DI	15	13
			DJ	29	22
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2
			District	DC	2
			DI	51	46
			DJ	55	40
Powell	Deer Lodge	City	Lower Court	8	8
			District	DC	25
			DI	21	16
			DJ	5	5
Ravalli	Darby	City	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	15
			DI	29	22
			DJ	2	1
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2
			District	DC	51
			DI	55	40
			DJ	8	5
Sanders	Thompson Falls	City	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	7
			DI	32	22
			DJ	3	3
		Justice	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	59
			DI	58	55
			DJ	1	1
Silver Bow	Butte	City	Lower Court	7	7
			District	DC	148
			DI	80	76
			DJ	1	1
	DN	7	7		
Regional Totals				1,844	1,261

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region B Overview


## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
2,251

**Clients Represented**  
1,500

**Number of Courts**  
48

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


60 Available Contractors\*

68 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region B Counties Map


Each court in Region B is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.



### Case Type Breakdown




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year



### Case Assignment Breakdown



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region B Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients	
Blaine	Chinook	City	Lower Court	2	2	
		District	DC	5	5	
			DN	9	6	
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2	
		City	Lower Court	6	6	
		District	DC	3	3	
			DN	10	9	
		Justice	Lower Court	3	3	
		City	Lower Court	74	47	
		District	DC	247	201	
			DJ	35	27	
			DN	460	293	
		Justice	Lower Court	17	16	
		City	Lower Court	1	1	
		City	Lower Court	14	10	
		City	Lower Court	61	41	
		District	DC	60	51	
			DJ	11	10	
			DN	86	63	
		Justice	Lower Court	72	61	
		City	Lower Court	3	2	
		City	Lower Court	4	2	
		West Yellowstone	Lower Court	9	4	
		City	Lower Court	8	6	
			DJ	1	1	
			DN	19	18	
		Justice	Lower Court	2	1	
		City	Lower Court	49	43	
		District	DC	39	34	
			DG	1	1	
			DJ	11	4	
			DN	126	88	
		Justice	Lower Court	19	13	
		District	DC	4	4	
			DN	43	29	
		Justice	Lower Court	9	9	
		City	Lower Court	2	2	
		Whitehall	Lower Court	1	1	
		East Helena	Lower Court	50	33	
		City	Lower Court	84	67	
		District	DC	1	1	
			DG	10	10	
			DN	165	121	
		Justice	Lower Court	41	35	
		District	DN	5	4	
		Justice	Lower Court	1	1	
		City	Lower Court	17	10	
		District	DC	24	19	
			DI	1	1	
			DN	31	27	
		Justice	Lower Court	19	15	
		City	Lower Court	3	3	
		District	DC	1	1	
			DN	40	22	
		City	Lower Court	2	2	
		District	DC	3	3	
			DN	22	15	
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2	
		District	DN	3	1	
		Justice	Lower Court	3	3	
		District	DC	6	6	
			DJ	1	1	
			DN	30	20	
		City	Lower Court	1	1	
		District	DC	13	13	
			DN	7	6	
		Justice	Lower Court	3	3	
		City	Lower Court	14	10	
		District	DC	9	8	
			DG	1	1	
			DI	1	1	
			DN	104	83	
		Justice	Lower Court	5	4	
		Regional Totals			2,251	1,500

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region B Overview


## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
2,112

**Clients Represented**  
1,400

**Number of Courts**  
45

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


64 Contractors Received Cases

61 Available Contractors\*

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region B Counties Map

Each court in Region B is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 615 cases  
DG 1 cases  
DI 1 cases  
DJ 54 cases  
DN 1,015 cases  
Low. Ct. 426 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor  
1,729 cases

FTE  
383 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region B Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients		
Blaine	Chinook	City	Lower Court	3	3		
		District	DC	4	4		
			DN	10	7		
	Harlem	City	Lower Court	2	2		
	Broadwater	Townsend	City	Lower Court	4	4	
			District	DC	10	10	
DN				2	2		
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2		
		Cascade	Great Falls	City	Lower Court	50	32
				District	DC	311	237
DJ	27				24		
DN	429	275					
		Justice	Lower Court	13	13		
		Chouteau	Fort Benton	District	DC	1	1
				DJ	1	1	
Gallatin	Belgrade	City	Lower Court	8	8		
	Bozeman	City	Lower Court	33	26		
		District	DC	78	65		
DG			1	1			
DI	1		1				
DJ	12	11					
DN	112	77					
		Justice	Lower Court	59	47		
		Manhattan	City	Lower Court	3	2	
			West Yellowstone	City	Lower Court	2	2
Glacier	Cut Bank			City	Lower Court	4	4
		District		DC	7	7	
			DN	17	13		
Hill	Havre	City	Lower Court	47	37		
		District	DC	34	28		
			DJ	1	1		
DN	63		47				
		Justice	Lower Court	22	21		
		Jefferson	Boulder	District	DC	9	9
				DN	16	14	
Justice	Lower Court			6	6		
Lewis And Clark	East Helena	City	Lower Court	4	4		
	Helena	City	Lower Court	56	39		
		District	DC	107	74		
DJ			7	7			
DN	228		179				
		Justice	Lower Court	55	46		
		Park	Livingston	City	Lower Court	15	13
				District	DC	28	19
DN	31				24		
Justice	Lower Court	17	10				
Phillips	Malta	District	DC	3	3		
			DN	31	21		
		Justice	Lower Court	1	1		
Pondera	Conrad	City	Lower Court	2	2		
		District	DC	2	2		
			DN	16	11		
Justice	Lower Court	4	4				
Sweet Grass	Big Timber	District	DN	2	2		
		Justice	Lower Court	1	1		
		Teton	Choteau	District	DC	4	4
DJ	5				5		
DN	9				5		
Justice	Lower Court	1	1				
Toole	Shelby	City	Lower Court	1	1		
		District	DC	4	4		
			DN	6	4		
Justice	Lower Court	1	1				
Valley	Glasgow	City	Lower Court	5	5		
		District	DC	13	13		
			DJ	1	1		
DN	43		33				
Justice	Lower Court	5	5				
Regional Totals				2,112	1,400		

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.



# Region C Overview


## Fiscal Year 2019

**New Cases Received**  
2,123

**Clients Represented**  
1,212

**Number of Courts**  
47

### FTE Employees



### Contract Attorneys


61 Available Contractors\*

45 Contractors Received Cases

\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year


### Region C Counties Map

Each court in Region C is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown

DC 486 cases  
DG 7 cases  
DI 5 cases  
DJ 42 cases  
DN 1,025 cases  
Low. Ct. 557 cases




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year




### Case Assignment Breakdown

Contractor 1,383 cases  
FTE 740 cases



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region C Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2019

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients	
Big Horn	Hardin	City	Lower Court	10	9	
			DC	22	19	
			DJ	5	5	
			DN	34	20	
		Justice	Lower Court	8	8	
			City	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	8	8
			DN	13	9	
Carbon	Red Lodge	Justice	Lower Court	2	2	
			District	DN	4	1
			City	Lower Court	20	15
			District	DC	23	20
Cascade	Great Falls	City	DC	5	4	
			DG	1	1	
			DN	75	62	
			Justice	DC	1	1
Custer	Miles City	Justice	Lower Court	7	7	
			District	DC	2	2
			City	Lower Court	4	4
			District	DC	28	21
Daniels	Scobey	District	DN	29	20	
			Justice	Lower Court	1	1
			City	DC	1	1
			District	Lower Court	7	7
Dawson	Glendive	City	DC	2	2	
			District	DC	4	4
			Justice	DC	28	21
			District	DN	29	20
Fallon	Baker	District	Lower Court	1	1	
			DC	2	2	
			DN	16	10	
			Justice	DC	1	1
Fergus	Lewistown	City	Lower Court	7	7	
			District	DC	13	10
			DI	1	1	
			DJ	2	2	
Golden Valley	Ryegate	Justice	DN	26	15	
			Lower Court	7	7	
			District	DN	1	1
			Justice	Lower Court	4	2
Judith Basin	Stanford	District	DC	2	2	
			Justice	Lower Court	4	4
			City	DC	1	1
			District	Lower Court	2	2
Meagher	White Sulphur Springs	District	DC	1	1	
			City	Lower Court	2	1
			District	DC	2	2
			DJ	3	3	
Musselshell	Roundup	District	DN	20	11	
			Justice	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DG	2	2
			City	DC	1	1
Petroleum	Winnett	District	DC	2	2	
			DN	6	2	
			Lower Court	2	2	
			DC	1	1	
Powder River	Broadus	District	DC	1	1	
			DN	6	2	
			Lower Court	2	2	
			DC	7	6	
Richland	Sidney	City	Lower Court	2	2	
			District	DC	7	6
			DJ	1	1	
			DN	36	26	
Roosevelt	Wolf Point	Justice	DV	1	1	
			Lower Court	1	1	
			District	DC	2	1
			DN	4	3	
Rosebud	Colstrip	City	Lower Court	1	1	
			District	DC	5	3
			DJ	5	5	
			DN	52	34	
Sheridan	Plentywood	Justice	Lower Court	6	5	
			District	DC	1	1
			DN	19	14	
			City	Lower Court	1	1
Stillwater	Columbus	District	DC	7	6	
			DJ	2	2	
			DN	1	1	
			Justice	Lower Court	6	3
Treasure	Hysham	Justice	Lower Court	1	1	
			District	DC	12	11
			DJ	2	2	
			DN	10	6	
Wheatland	Harlowton	District	Lower Court	1	1	
			Justice	Lower Court	1	1
			District	DC	1	1
			City	Lower Court	378	176
Wibaux	Wibaux	District	DC	346	261	
			DI	4	4	
			DJ	21	15	
			DN	679	407	
Yellowstone	Billings	Justice	Lower Court	66	54	
			District	Lower Court	16	11
			City	Lower Court		
			Laurel	City	Lower Court	
Regional Totals				2,123	1,212	

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

# Region C Overview

## Fiscal Year 2020

**New Cases Received**  
1,773

**Clients Represented**  
995

**Number of Courts**  
50

### FTE Employees

6.50 Total FTEs

3.50 Attorneys

2.00 Non-Attorney Staff

1.00 Regional Manager

### Contract Attorneys


59 Available Contractors\*

45 Contractors Received Cases


\* does not include contractors whose MOUs expired during the fiscal year

### Region C Counties Map

Each court in Region C is shown below as a pie chart, with the size of the pie relative to the overall number of cases received in that court, and the slices of the pie relative to the case types received in that court. See the following page for detailed case numbers by Court and Case Type.




### Case Type Breakdown




### Cases Received During Fiscal Year


New Cases Received Per Week 7 Day Moving Average



### Case Assignment Breakdown



### Case Assignment Rate During Fiscal Year



# Region C Cases Breakdown

## Fiscal Year 2020

County	City	Court Type	Case Type	Number of New Cases	Number of Clients		
Big Horn	Hardin	City	Lower Court	19	18		
		District	DC	28	26		
			DJ	5	5		
			DN	29	22		
		Justice	Lower Court	19	17		
		Carbon	Bridger	City	Lower Court	7	6
				Red Lodge	City	Lower Court	2
			District		DC	18	13
DN	6			3			
		Justice	Lower Court	8	4		
		Custer	Miles City	City	Lower Court	12	8
				District	DC	29	27
			DJ		5	4	
DN	54		34				
		Justice	DC	1	1		
			Lower Court	1	1		
			Daniels	Scobey	District	DN	4
		Dawson			Glendive	City	Lower Court
District	DC		18	14			
	DN	20	12				
		Justice	Lower Court	4	4		
		Fallon	Baker	District	DC	4	2
				DJ	1	1	
				Fergus	Lewistown	City	Lower Court
District	DC					12	12
	DJ			1	1		
DN	28			13			
		Justice	Lower Court	5	5		
		Garfield	Jordan	District	DC	5	5
				Judith Basin	Stanford	District	DC
		DN	1			1	
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2		
		Meagher	White Sulphur Springs	City	Lower Court	1	1
				Justice	Lower Court	1	1
				Musselshell	Roundup	City	Lower Court
District	DC					3	3
	DN			5	4		
Justice	Lower Court			4	4		
		Powder River	Broadus	District	DC	3	3
				Prairie	Terry	District	DC
		DN	9			3	
		Justice	Lower Court	3	3		
		Richland	Sidney	City	Lower Court	2	2
				District	DC	17	14
		DN	27		18		
		Justice	Lower Court	2	2		
		Roosevelt	Wolf Point	District	DC	1	1
				Rosebud	Colstrip	City	Lower Court
		Forsyth	District			DC	7
				DJ	1	1	
DN	24	18					
		Justice	Lower Court	4	4		
		Sheridan	Plentywood	City	Lower Court	3	1
				District	DC	2	2
				Stillwater	Columbus	City	Lower Court
District	DC					7	6
	DJ			1	1		
DN	1			1			
Justice	Lower Court	2	2				
		Treasure	Hysham	District	DN	10	6
				Wheatland	Harlowton	City	Lower Court
		District	DC			12	10
		DN	3	3			
Justice	Lower Court	6	6				
		Wibaux	Wibaux	District	DN	4	2
				Yellowstone	Billings	City	Lower Court
		District	DC			315	225
		DJ	30	23			
DN	453	285					
Justice	Lower Court	89	70				
		Laurel	City	Lower Court	6	5	
				Regional Totals			

Breakdown includes all cases assigned to a Region, which may include cases in courts outside of the Region.

**Report Prepared by OPD Operations Support Unit:**

Emily Copeland

Brett D. Schandelson

Marsha Parr

*with special recognition to Kyle Belcher*

**Thank You to the Following Contributors:**

Cathy Doyle

Barb Kain

Chris Thomas

Lynn MacMillan

Carleen Green

Nate McConnell

Peter Ohman

Chad Wright

Dan Miller

Rhonda Lindquist

Please direct any questions to the  
Operations Support Unit by contacting  
[OPDOperations@mt.gov](mailto:OPDOperations@mt.gov).

